

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
HUNTSVILLE DIVISION

COMPLAINT

EVELYN NARVAEZ (“Plaintiff”), by her attorneys, alleges the following against
ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC (“Defendant”):

1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself individually seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (hereinafter "TCPA"),
47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Defendant conducts business in the state of Alabama, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).
 3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, *Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC*, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.

4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the county of Madison, in the city of Owen Cross Roads, Alabama.
6. Defendant is a corporation doing business in the State of Alabama, with its headquarters in Minneapolis, Minnesota.
7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged debts owed by Plaintiff.
9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone at phone number (256) 457-86XX.
10. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not limited to, (832) 214-3039
11. Per its prior business practices, Defendant's calls were placed with an automated telephone dialing system ("auto-dialer").

12. Defendant used an “automatic telephone dialing system”, as defined by 47 U.S.C. §
1
227(a)(1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt
2
allegedly owed by Plaintiff, EVELYN NARVAEZ.
3
4. Defendant’s calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47
5
U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
6
7. Defendant’s calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone
8
service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
9
227(b)(1).
10
11. Defendant never received Plaintiff’s “prior express consent” to receive calls using an
12
automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on her cellular
13
telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
14
15. On August 20, 2018, Plaintiff called into Defendant’s company at phone number (832)
16
214-3039. Plaintiff spoke with Defendant’s male representative and requested that
17
Defendant cease calling Plaintiff’s cellular phone.
18
19. During the conversation on August 20, 2018, Plaintiff gave Defendant both her date of
20
birth and social security number to assist Defendant in accessing her account before asking
21
Defendant to stop calling her cell phone (256) 457-86XX.
22
23. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call her cellular telephone
24
and/or to receive Defendant’s calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in her
25
conversation with Defendant’s representative on August 20, 2018.
26
19. Despite Plaintiff’s request, Defendant placed another two (2) collection calls to Plaintiff
20
on August 21, 2018.
21
20. Defendant continued to place collection calls to Plaintiff through September 2018.
22
23
24
25

21. Despite Plaintiff's repeated request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls, Defendant placed at least one hundred and twenty-three (123) automated calls to Plaintiff's cell phone.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227

22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

24. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).

25. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER

PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

27. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

28. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

29. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, EVELYN NARVAEZ, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC for the following:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

30. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged herein (123), \$61,500.00;

31. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

32. For statutory damages \$1,500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged herein (123), \$184,500.00;

33. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

34. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

35. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;

36. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

37. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 DATED: January 25, 2019

By: /s/ Wesley Blacksher
Wesley Blacksher
Attorney for Plaintiff
Wesley H. Blacksher, LLC
3763 Professional Parkway
Mobile, Alabama 36609
Phone: 251-432-1010
E: BlacksherW@aol.com